

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/584,303	BRINK ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
JULIE HA	1654	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) JULIE HA. (3) _____.
 (2) JUDITH EVANS. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2011
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8, 10-11, 13-34 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner telephoned Ms. Evans to discuss Examiner's amendment to get the application in condition for allowance. The Examiner requested approval of cancellation of claims 13-34, drawn to nonelected inventions. Ms. Evans approved this amendment. The Examiner indicated that claims 8 and 10-11, drawn to nonelected species will be rejoined. The Examiner also requested approval to insert hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide gated before HCN, and put the HCN in parenthesis. Ms. Evans approved the amendment. Claims 1-12 and 35-36 are allowed. Examiner's amendment follows

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Julie Ha/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)